

Analysis: “How Modern Feminism Scammed an Entire Generation of Women”

April 22, 2025

Overview

This report presents a detailed analysis of a video and associated comments claiming that "modern feminism scammed an entire generation of women." The content represents a sophisticated approach to anti-feminist messaging that employs progressive language while undermining feminist principles. Our analysis reveals calculated rhetorical strategies designed to appeal to younger women, particularly Generation Z, by positioning them as victims of ideological deception while promoting traditional gender roles.

This video exemplifies a broader trend observable across right-wing persuasion ecosystems, where traditionally progressive language is strategically co-opted to create subtle entry points for radicalization pipelines. By avoiding overtly conservative positioning while undermining progressive principles, such content creates confusion, reaches audiences who would reject explicitly right-wing messaging, and serves as a gateway to gradually more extreme ideological positions.



Figure 1: Screenshot from "[How Modern Feminism Scammed an Entire Generation of Women](#)"

1. Content Overview

1.1 Data Sources

The analyzed material consists of:

- Video transcript (2,106 words)
- User comments (5,709 words)
- Summary of the content (367 words)

1.2 Content Structure

The content is structured as a purportedly objective critique of modern feminist ideology, focusing on perceived contradictions related to objectification, empowerment, and equality. The video presents itself as an enlightened analysis rather than an explicitly anti-feminist position, using data visualization and seemingly neutral language to establish credibility.

1.3 Primary Themes and Frequency Analysis

Theme	Percentage	Distribution
Feminism	25.50%	Transcript (23.3%), Comments (64.4%), Summary (12.3%)
Feminist	15.40%	Transcript (25.0%), Comments (54.2%), Summary (20.8%)
Modern Feminism	7.90%	Transcript (22.7%), Comments (45.5%), Summary (31.8%)
Traditional Values	14.20%	Transcript (19.8%), Comments (34.2%), Summary (46.0%)
Sexual Liberation	8.60%	Transcript (29.4%), Comments (29.4%), Summary (41.2%)
Objectification	6.70%	Transcript (37.9%), Comments (3.4%), Summary (58.7%)
Empowerment	13.90%	Transcript (27.8%), Comments (18.5%), Summary (53.7%)
Contradictions	1.90%	Transcript (28.5%), Comments (28.5%), Summary (43.0%)
Generational	10.90%	Transcript (0%), Comments (65.5%), Summary (34.5%)

This distribution reveals strategic emphases:

- The transcript focuses more on concepts like "empowerment" and "objectification"
- Comments emphasize "traditional values" and "generational" perspectives

- All three content components emphasize that the concept of "feminism" itself as the central concept of critique

2. Rhetorical Analysis: Arguments and Strategies

2.1 Core Arguments

The content employs four primary argumentative strategies:

2.1.1 The Contradiction Narrative

The central claim positions modern feminism as inherently contradictory:

"One second feminism is demonizing men for objectifying women and in the next it's encouraging girls to sell their body on Only Fans so that men can objectify them."

This framing creates a false dichotomy that ignores the nuanced feminist discussions about agency, consent, and structural power dynamics.

2.1.2 Sexual Liberation as Harm

The content frames sexual liberation as harmful to women:

"A major concept of modern feminism has been encouraging sexual liberation" which is portrayed as "potentially harmful rather than empowering."

This reframes feminist advocacy for sexual autonomy as exploitation, without acknowledging the complex feminist discourse around sexuality, consent, and commodification.

2.1.3 "Benefits Without Responsibility" Framing

A recurring argument suggests feminist movements seek advantages without accepting consequences:

"The problem is that this movement wants all the benefits of feminism without any of its consequences."

This framing mischaracterizes feminist positions on mutual responsibility and structural change.

2.1.4 Identity Distancing Strategy

The content employs testimonial-style arguments:

"I used to consider myself a feminist until I realized that women were using it as a means to justify going out like this and then complaining about being called hot."

This rhetorical move establishes credibility (the creator was once "one of them") before criticizing feminist positions.

2.2 Language and Persuasion Techniques

The content employs several sophisticated targeting and persuasion techniques:

2.2.1 Co-option of Progressive Language

Despite critiquing feminist perspectives, the content employs significantly more progressive terms (95%) than conservative terms (5%). This aligns with a strategic approach to appeal to audiences who identify with progressive values while steering them toward more traditional perspectives without overt conservative claims or "off-putting" language.

2.2.2 Bias Indicators

The analysis identified several indicators of bias:

- Loaded language: 13 instances
- Generalizations about feminists: 17 instances
- Strawman arguments about feminist positions: 3 instances
- Appeals to emotion rather than evidence: 9 instances

2.2.3 Tone Management

The transcript maintains a more analytical, measured tone while the comments display more emotional language. This creates a complementary approach where the content appears reasonable and evidence-based while emotional resonance is established through community response.

2.2.4 Visual Rhetoric

The document includes data visualization elements (charts showing generational differences, sentiment analysis, etc.) that lend scientific authority to subjective claims. These visual elements create an impression of objectivity despite the clearly biased framing.

3. Generational Targeting Analysis

The generational breakdown presented in this analysis was derived primarily from viewer comments rather than explicit statements by the video creator. The original video transcript does not contain structured generational comparisons or explicit discussions of different generational cohorts (Boomers, Gen X, Millennials, Gen Z) by the creator. This

generational framework represents an analytical interpretation applied to the content based on:

1. Comments from viewers that mentioned generational perspectives
2. Sentiment analysis of these generational references
3. Contextual interpretation of attitudes expressed in the comments

The generational data should therefore be understood as reflecting viewer perceptions and responses rather than explicit claims made in the video itself.

3.1 Generational Segmentation

The content deliberately segments its analysis by generation, creating distinct narratives for different age groups:

3.2 Generational Narrative Construction

The content constructs specific narratives for each generation:

3.2.1 Boomers as Traditionalists

Boomer perspectives are presented as uniformly positive toward traditional values: "I'm a tradwife. 30 years later, my only regret is stopping at 3 children." This portrayal reinforces the narrative that previous generations found fulfillment in traditional roles.

3.2.2 Gen X and Millennials as Economically Constrained

These generations are portrayed as primarily concerned with economic barriers to traditional arrangements: "The days of a man making enough to buy a house and raise children on a single income are long gone" and "It's worth mentioning that a trad wife can't exist anymore unless you're rich." This framing acknowledges economic concerns while still positioning traditional arrangements as inherently desirable.

3.2.3 Gen Z as Confused and Misled

Gen Z is portrayed as having mixed views, with the implication that they have been misled by modern feminist ideology: "It's so wild to me seeing feminists paint the traditional family as something so evil." This positioning supports the central "scammed" narrative by suggesting younger women have been deceived about viable life paths.

3.3 Strategic Appeal to Gen Z

The targeted approach to Gen Z reveals several key strategies:

1. Positioning as Victims: Young women are portrayed as victims of ideological manipulation rather than as agents making informed choices

2. Appeal to Authenticity: The content frames rejection of feminist principles as rebellious and authentic, appealing to young people's desire for genuine self-expression
3. Economic Anxiety Exploitation: Legitimate economic concerns facing younger generations are leveraged to undermine support for feminist principles
4. False Dichotomy Creation: The content creates artificial either/or scenarios between feminist principles and personal fulfillment

4. Content Category Analysis by Source

The distribution of themes across different content components reveals coordinated messaging strategies:

4.1 Theme Distribution

Category	Comments	Summary	Transcript
Traditional Feminism	0	1	2
Modern Feminism	5	4	4
Sexual Liberation	10	8	3
Objectification	1	7	10
Traditional Values	2	6	5
Generational	19	8	11
Contradictions	2	1	0
Empowerment	2	0	2

4.2 Complementary Messaging Analysis

This distribution reveals a coordinated approach where:

1. The transcript focuses on theoretical concepts (objectification, empowerment)
2. Comments emphasize personal experiences and generational perspectives

3. The summary bridges these approaches by connecting theoretical concepts with generational impacts

This complementary messaging creates multiple entry points for audience engagement: those drawn to theoretical critique, personal testimonials, or generational analysis are all provided with content that ultimately reinforces the same anti-feminist message.

5. Sentiment and Bias Analysis

5.1 Overall Tone Assessment

- Primary Tone: Positive (presenting as solution-oriented rather than merely critical)
- Emotional Tone: Balanced (alternating between analytical and emotional appeals)
- Compound Sentiment: 0.15 (slightly positive, avoiding overtly negative framing)

5.2 Slant and Bias Assessment

- Ideological Slant: Progressive-leaning terminology despite conservative conclusions
- Bias Level: Strong bias indicators present throughout
- Conservative Terms: 15 instances
- Progressive Terms: 194 instances

5.3 Bias Distribution Across Content

The bias indicators are distributed strategically across content components:

- Transcript: More subtle bias indicators, focusing on framing and selective evidence
- Comments: More explicit bias indicators, including loaded language and appeals to emotion
- Summary: Bridges these approaches by presenting biased conclusions in seemingly objective language

5.4 Sentiment Distribution

Sentiment analysis reveals that despite critiquing feminism, the content maintains a largely positive or neutral tone:

- Positive sentiment: 15% overall
- Negative sentiment: 7% overall
- Neutral sentiment: 78% overall

This positive framing positions the critique as constructive rather than reactionary, enhancing its persuasive impact.

6. Strategic Positioning Analysis

The content positions itself at several strategic intersections:

6.1 Traditional versus Modern Feminism

The content creates a false dichotomy between "traditional feminism" (presented as reasonable) and "modern feminism" (presented as contradictory). This allows for criticism of contemporary feminist movements while claiming support for gender equality in principle.

6.2 Economic Pragmatism Framework

Particularly in generational arguments, economic barriers to traditional lifestyles are acknowledged to establish credibility and appeal to legitimate economic anxieties among younger audiences.

6.3 Individual Choice Reframing

The content co-opts language of choice and empowerment while simultaneously undermining the validity of choices that align with feminist principles. This creates a paradoxical framing where feminist choices are positioned as less authentic than traditional ones.

6.4 Scientific Presentation of Subjective Claims

The use of data visualization, percentages, and categorical analysis lends an air of scientific authority to what are fundamentally subjective interpretations and selective evidence.

7. Conclusions and Implications

7.1 Specific Content Analysis

This analysis reveals a sophisticated approach to anti-feminist messaging that:

1. Targets younger women (particularly Gen Z) by portraying them as victims of ideological deception
2. Co-opts progressive language to appeal to progressive-leaning audiences while promoting traditional gender roles
3. Creates false dichotomies between "good" (traditional) and "bad" (modern) feminism, ignoring the continuity and diversity within feminist thought

4. Uses seemingly objective analysis as a vehicle for biased messaging, lending credibility to subjective claims
5. Exploits legitimate economic anxieties facing younger generations to undermine support for feminist principles
6. Positions rejection of feminist principles as rebellious and authentic, appealing to young people's desire for genuine self-expression

7.2 Situating Within Broader Right-Wing Persuasion Ecosystems

The tactics observed in this content exemplify broader trends within right-wing persuasion ecosystems that extend beyond anti-feminist content:

7.2.1 The Alt-Right Pipeline and Subtle Entry Points

This content represents what researchers have termed an "entry point" to radicalization pipelines. By presenting critiques of feminism in seemingly reasonable, data-driven formats without explicitly right-wing framing, such content serves as a gateway to more extreme positions. This pattern mirrors strategies observed across:

- Anti-DEI (Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion) content that begins with "reasonable concerns" about implementation before progressing to rejection of the underlying concepts
- Anti-immigration discourse that begins with "economic impact" concerns before proceeding to more explicit xenophobia
- Anti-LGBTQ+ content that employs concern for children as an entry point to broader rejection of gender and sexual orientation diversity

7.2.2 Strategic Co-option of Progressive Language

The significant disparity between progressive terminology (194 instances) and conservative terminology (15 instances) in overtly anti-feminist content reveals a deliberate strategy of linguistic co-option. This approach:

- Creates confusion about the source and ideological alignment of the content
- Makes the content more shareable among progressive-leaning audiences
- Inoculates against criticism by allowing creators to claim they support equality "in principle"
- Exploits algorithmic categorization systems that may identify such content as progressive based on keyword analysis

This linguistic co-option strategy is increasingly evident across right-wing media ecosystems, including "common sense" framing of anti-transgender policies, "free speech" framing of harassment campaigns, and "parental rights" framing of educational censorship.

7.2.3 Weaponization of Identity and Experience

The "I used to be a feminist until..." framing exemplifies another common tactic in right-wing persuasion: the strategic deployment of claimed identity and experience to shield criticism. This approach:

- Presents ideological positions as personal journeys rather than political stances
- Creates perceived authenticity and credibility through personal testimony
- Shields content from criticism by positioning critics as attacking personal experience
- Establishes parasocial relationships with audiences through vulnerability and confession

Similar tactics are employed across domains with "ex-leftist," "ex-liberal," and other identity pivots serving as powerful rhetorical devices across the right-wing media ecosystem.

7.2.4 Data Aesthetics Without Data Integrity

The use of charts, graphs, and statistics in this content—without rigorous methodological foundations—represents another widespread tactic in contemporary right-wing media: the aesthetics of data-driven analysis without its substance. This approach:

- Lends credibility through scientific presentation formats
- Exploits lay audiences' difficulty in evaluating methodological soundness
- Creates shareable assets that appear objective and authoritative
- Overwhelms casual viewers with information density that discourages critical evaluation

This pattern of "data aesthetics" appears across climate change denial content, COVID-19 misinformation, and various forms of demographic propaganda.

7.2.5 Strategic Intergenerational Wedging

The content's careful segmentation of generational perspectives represents another common tactic: creating demographic wedges within potentially allied groups. This approach:

- Exploits natural generational differences to create artificial political divisions
- Positions older generations as bearers of wisdom being ignored by indoctrinated youth
- Simultaneously appeals to younger audiences by positioning them as victims of manipulation
- Creates self-reinforcing divisions that weaken united progressive responses

Similar wedging strategies are employed across issues including racial justice movements, climate activism, and LGBTQ+ advocacy.

The content represents an evolution in anti-feminist rhetoric that avoids overtly conservative positioning while still promoting traditional gender roles and critiquing feminist principles. This approach may be particularly effective at reaching audiences who would reject more explicitly conservative messaging, and exemplifies broader trends in right-wing persuasion strategies designed to capture audiences through subtle entry points and progressive language co-option.

8. Recommendations for Response

For media literacy educators, gender equality advocates, and those concerned with counteracting this messaging and similar right-wing pipeline content:

8.1 Cross-Movement Media Literacy Initiatives

Develop comprehensive frameworks that help audiences identify content that co-opts progressive language while undermining progressive values across multiple domains (feminism, racial justice, LGBTQ+ rights, climate action). Focus particularly on recognizing common tactical patterns rather than just issue-specific content.

8.2 Economic Concerns Addressing

Create counter-narratives that address legitimate economic and social concerns facing younger generations without rejecting equality principles. Demonstrate how feminist, anti-racist, and progressive approaches can help address economic insecurity rather than contribute to it, directly countering right-wing exploitation of economic anxiety.

8.3 Intergenerational Coalition Building

Foster dialogue that acknowledges legitimate generational differences while actively building cross-generational progressive coalitions. Create spaces for solidarity that can withstand deliberate wedging tactics, with particular emphasis on connecting experienced advocates with younger activists targeted by pipeline content.

8.4 Algorithmic Literacy and Intervention

Research how content co-opting progressive language is categorized and amplified by recommendation algorithms. Develop both technical interventions and user-focused practices to identify when algorithms are channeling viewers toward increasingly extreme content through subtle entry points.

8.5 Authentic Choice and Liberation Frameworks

Develop messaging that connects personal liberation across multiple dimensions (gender, sexuality, race, class) to counter the artificial segmentation of these issues in right-wing pipeline content. Emphasize how intersectional approaches strengthen rather than weaken individual agency.

8.6 Data Literacy and Counter-Narratives

Create evidence-based content that not only addresses misleading claims but also builds audience capacity to evaluate data presentation critically. Develop accessible guides to recognizing "data aesthetics" versus genuine data analysis across multiple issue areas.

8.7 Identifying and Disrupting Pipeline Entry Points

Map the specific types of content that serve as entry points to radicalization pipelines, with particular attention to content targeting younger audiences. Develop proactive interventions that reach audiences before they encounter pipeline entry content rather than after radicalization has progressed.

8.8 Cross-Platform Coordination

Develop coordinated responses across platforms to identify and address content that employs co-optation strategies. Create shared resources for identifying emerging linguistic tactics, visual strategies, and persuasion approaches that may indicate coordinated pipeline efforts.

8.9 Reclaiming Co-opted Language

Instead of abandoning terminology that has been strategically co-opted, develop explicit campaigns to reclaim and clarify key concepts that bridge progressive movements (choice, freedom, rights, empowerment). Provide clear definitional frameworks that distinguish authentic usage from co-optation.

8.10 Preemptive Inoculation

Develop educational content that preemptively exposes the tactics used in pipeline content, inoculating audiences (particularly younger ones) against these persuasion strategies before they encounter them in the wild.

Appendix A: Methodology

This analysis employed a mixed-methods approach including:

1. Content Analysis: Systematic coding of text to identify themes, rhetoric, and messaging strategies
2. Sentiment Analysis: Evaluation of emotional tone and persuasive intent
3. Comparative Analysis: Examination of how content varies across different components (transcript, comments, summary)
4. Linguistic Analysis: Identification of bias indicators and persuasion techniques
5. Visual Rhetoric Analysis: Assessment of how data visualization is used to support subjective claims

Appendix B: Limitations

This analysis is subject to several limitations:

1. Although a popular and influential video, it examines a single piece of content rather than the broader landscape of anti-feminist messaging
2. It cannot determine the intentionality behind the content creation
3. It does not assess the full impact or reception of this content among target audiences

Future research should address these limitations through longitudinal analysis, audience polling and reception studies, and broader mapping of related content across digital platforms.

About This Report

This report analyzes content and related trends using terms as they appear in public data. Please note:

- *We recognize that search behavior represents only one signal of voter interest and information needs.*
- *The inclusion of certain terms or phrases does not imply endorsement or medical accuracy. They are reported to accurately reflect public search behavior and discourse.*
- *We recognize that some language used in public searches may be considered offensive or insensitive. Our goal is to provide an accurate representation of search trends, not to promote the particular viewpoint.*

We strive to balance accurate reporting of public discourse with sensitivity to the complex nature of this topic. If you have any concerns or feedback, please contact britt@brittbischoff.com.

CONTACT

Britt Bischoff
Digital Strategist, Researcher
britt@brittbischoff.com
319-415-5642